

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/471,890	Applicant(s) HUFFMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Carol Chaney	Art Unit 1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Carol Chaney.

(3) Mark J. Cohen. *MSC*

(2) Susy Tsang-Foster.

(4) Thomas C. Meyers. *[Signature]*

Date of Interview: 9-23-08.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Carol Chaney/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives proposed amending claims by using the term "cage molecules consisting of carbon atoms soluble in non-polar organic solvents" throughout the claims to overcome duplication of claim objections. It was agreed the case could be allowable by cancelling the currently pending claims and adding ~~and~~ a set of claims mirroring those indicated as allowable in application 07/580246 by Examiner's Amendment. Applicant need not respond to the office action mailed 12 September 2008 or provide a statement of the substance of this interview. .